Symmetry reductions in loop quantum gravity

based on classical gauge fixings

Norbert Bodendorfer

University of Warsaw

based on arXiv:1410.5608 and arXiv:1410.5609 (with J. Lewandowski and J. Świeżewski)

Quantum Gravity in Cracow⁴, 09.05.2015

Unterstützt von / Supported by



Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation

Plan of the talk



- 2 General strategy
- 3 Bianchi I: Details on classical derivation
- 4 Bianchi I: Details on quantum theory
- 5 Spherical symmetry (sketch)



Outline



- 2 General strategy
- 3 Bianchi I: Details on classical derivation
- 4 Bianchi I: Details on quantum theory
- 5 Spherical symmetry (sketch)



- Mini / midi-superspace quantisation
 - ► LQC [Bojowald '99-; Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski '03; ...]
 - Schwarzschild black hole [Kastrup, Thiemann '93; Kuchař '94, Gambini, Pullin '13]
 - Spherical symmetry [Bojowald, Kastrup '99, ..., Bojowald, Swiderski '04, ...]
 - More on spherical symmetry [Alvarez, Capurro, Gambini, Pullin, Olmedo, Rastgoo ...]

- Mini / midi-superspace quantisation
 - ► LQC [Bojowald '99-; Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski '03; ...]
 - Schwarzschild black hole [Kastrup, Thiemann '93; Kuchař '94, Gambini, Pullin '13]
 - Spherical symmetry [Bojowald, Kastrup '99, ..., Bojowald, Swiderski '04, ...]
 - More on spherical symmetry [Alvarez, Capurro, Gambini, Pullin, Olmedo, Rastgoo ...]
- Approximately symmetric spin networks
 - Weave states [Ashtekar, Rovelli, Smolin '92; Bombelli '00]
 - Spinfoam cosmology [Bianchi, Rovelli, Vidotto '10-; Kisielowski, Lewandowski, Puchta '12]
 - Canonical Bianchi I, reduced states [Alesci, Cianfrani '12-; Pawłowski '14]

- Mini / midi-superspace quantisation
 - ► LQC [Bojowald '99-; Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski '03; ...]
 - Schwarzschild black hole [Kastrup, Thiemann '93; Kuchař '94, Gambini, Pullin '13]
 - Spherical symmetry [Bojowald, Kastrup '99, ..., Bojowald, Swiderski '04, ...]
 - More on spherical symmetry [Alvarez, Capurro, Gambini, Pullin, Olmedo, Rastgoo ...]
- Approximately symmetric spin networks
 - Weave states [Ashtekar, Rovelli, Smolin '92; Bombelli '00]
 - Spinfoam cosmology [Bianchi, Rovelli, Vidotto '10-; Kisielowski, Lewandowski, Puchta '12]
 - Canonical Bianchi I, reduced states [Alesci, Cianfrani '12-; Pawłowski '14]
- Study of symmetric connections
 - ► Quantisation ↔ reduction [Bojowald '04; Engle '05; Hanusch '13]
 - Embedding of states [Engle '07; Brunnemann, Fleischhack '07; Fleischhack '10]

- Mini / midi-superspace quantisation
 - ► LQC [Bojowald '99-; Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski '03; ...]
 - Schwarzschild black hole [Kastrup, Thiemann '93; Kuchař '94, Gambini, Pullin '13]
 - Spherical symmetry [Bojowald, Kastrup '99, ..., Bojowald, Swiderski '04, ...]
 - More on spherical symmetry [Alvarez, Capurro, Gambini, Pullin, Olmedo, Rastgoo ...]
- Approximately symmetric spin networks
 - Weave states [Ashtekar, Rovelli, Smolin '92; Bombelli '00]
 - Spinfoam cosmology [Bianchi, Rovelli, Vidotto '10-; Kisielowski, Lewandowski, Puchta '12]
 - Canonical Bianchi I, reduced states [Alesci, Cianfrani '12-; Pawłowski '14]
- Study of symmetric connections
 - ► Quantisation ↔ reduction [Bojowald '04; Engle '05; Hanusch '13]
 - Embedding of states [Engle '07; Brunnemann, Fleischhack '07; Fleischhack '10]
- Condensate states
 - ► GFT [Gielen, Oriti, Sindoni '13-; Calcagni '14, ...]

- Mini / midi-superspace quantisation
 - ► LQC [Bojowald '99-; Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski '03; ...]
 - Schwarzschild black hole [Kastrup, Thiemann '93; Kuchař '94, Gambini, Pullin '13]
 - Spherical symmetry [Bojowald, Kastrup '99, ..., Bojowald, Swiderski '04, ...]
 - More on spherical symmetry [Alvarez, Capurro, Gambini, Pullin, Olmedo, Rastgoo ...]
- Approximately symmetric spin networks
 - Weave states [Ashtekar, Rovelli, Smolin '92; Bombelli '00]
 - Spinfoam cosmology [Bianchi, Rovelli, Vidotto '10-; Kisielowski, Lewandowski, Puchta '12]
 - Canonical Bianchi I, reduced states [Alesci, Cianfrani '12-; Pawłowski '14]
- Study of symmetric connections
 - ► Quantisation ↔ reduction [Bojowald '04; Engle '05; Hanusch '13]
 - Embedding of states [Engle '07; Brunnemann, Fleischhack '07; Fleischhack '10]
- Condensate states
 - ► GFT [Gielen, Oriti, Sindoni '13-; Calcagni '14, ...]
- Group averaging w.r.t. symmetry generator at quantum level
 - Spherical symmetry [NB, Lewandowski, Świeżewski '14]

QGiC⁴ 4 / 20

- Mini / midi-superspace quantisation
 - ► LQC [Bojowald '99-; Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski '03; ...]
 - Schwarzschild black hole [Kastrup, Thiemann '93; Kuchař '94, Gambini, Pullin '13]
 - Spherical symmetry [Bojowald, Kastrup '99, ..., Bojowald, Swiderski '04, ...]
 - More on spherical symmetry [Alvarez, Capurro, Gambini, Pullin, Olmedo, Rastgoo ...]
- Approximately symmetric spin networks
 - Weave states [Ashtekar, Rovelli, Smolin '92; Bombelli '00]
 - Spinfoam cosmology [Bianchi, Rovelli, Vidotto '10-; Kisielowski, Lewandowski, Puchta '12]
 - Canonical Bianchi I, reduced states [Alesci, Cianfrani '12-; Pawłowski '14]
- Study of symmetric connections
 - ► Quantisation ↔ reduction [Bojowald '04; Engle '05; Hanusch '13]
 - Embedding of states [Engle '07; Brunnemann, Fleischhack '07; Fleischhack '10]
- Condensate states
 - GFT [Gielen, Oriti, Sindoni '13-; Calcagni '14, ...]
- Group averaging w.r.t. symmetry generator at quantum level
 - Spherical symmetry [NB, Lewandowski, Świeżewski '14]
- Code symmetry as f(p,q) = 0, impose $f(p,q) |\Psi\rangle_{sym} = 0 \leftarrow \text{this talk}$
 - Bianchi I models [NB '14]
 - Spherical symmetry [NB, Lewandowski, Świeżewski '14]

Outline



2 General strategy

- 3 Bianchi I: Details on classical derivation
- 4 Bianchi I: Details on quantum theory
- 5 Spherical symmetry (sketch)

6 Conclusion

Suitable classical starting point

- Gauge fix spatial diffeomorphisms adapted to the symmetry reduction
- ▶ Go to the reduced phase space, i.e. solve constraints or employ Dirac bracket
- Find new connection variables on Γ_{red} (not Ashtekar-Barbero variables)

Suitable classical starting point

- Gauge fix spatial diffeomorphisms adapted to the symmetry reduction
- ▶ Go to the reduced phase space, i.e. solve constraints or employ Dirac bracket
- Find new connection variables on Γ_{red} (not Ashtekar-Barbero variables)

2 Identification of constraints imposed by symmetry reduction

- Find phase space functions $f_i(p,q) = 0$ in the symmetric subspace
- $f_i = 0$ may be a first or second class set of constraints
- Later, choose first class subset via gauge unfixing (\rightarrow Dirac quantisation)

Suitable classical starting point

- Gauge fix spatial diffeomorphisms adapted to the symmetry reduction
- ▶ Go to the reduced phase space, i.e. solve constraints or employ Dirac bracket
- Find new connection variables on Γ_{red} (not Ashtekar-Barbero variables)
- **2** Identification of constraints imposed by symmetry reduction
 - Find phase space functions $f_i(p,q) = 0$ in the symmetric subspace
 - $f_i = 0$ may be a first or second class set of constraints
 - Later, choose first class subset via gauge unfixing (\rightarrow Dirac quantisation)
- Quantise the reduced phase space via LQG techniques
 - At this point, still full GR (if accessible by the gauge fixing)
 - At this point, no (full) spatial diffeomorphism constraint at quantum level

Suitable classical starting point

- Gauge fix spatial diffeomorphisms adapted to the symmetry reduction
- ▶ Go to the reduced phase space, i.e. solve constraints or employ Dirac bracket
- Find new connection variables on Γ_{red} (not Ashtekar-Barbero variables)

2 Identification of constraints imposed by symmetry reduction

- Find phase space functions $f_i(p,q) = 0$ in the symmetric subspace
- $f_i = 0$ may be a first or second class set of constraints
- Later, choose first class subset via gauge unfixing (\rightarrow Dirac quantisation)

Quantise the reduced phase space via LQG techniques

- At this point, still full GR (if accessible by the gauge fixing)
- ▶ At this point, no (full) spatial diffeomorphism constraint at quantum level

(3) Impose reduction conditions $f_i = 0$ as operator equations: $\hat{f}_i |\Psi\rangle_{sym} = 0$

- Find subspace of quantum reduced states $|\Psi\rangle_{svm}$
- Find observables $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{sym}$ w.r.t. reduction constraints: $[\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{sym}, \hat{f}_i] = 0$

Suitable classical starting point

- Gauge fix spatial diffeomorphisms adapted to the symmetry reduction
- ▶ Go to the reduced phase space, i.e. solve constraints or employ Dirac bracket
- Find new connection variables on Γ_{red} (not Ashtekar-Barbero variables)

2 Identification of constraints imposed by symmetry reduction

- Find phase space functions $f_i(p,q) = 0$ in the symmetric subspace
- $f_i = 0$ may be a first or second class set of constraints
- Later, choose first class subset via gauge unfixing (\rightarrow Dirac quantisation)

Quantise the reduced phase space via LQG techniques

- At this point, still full GR (if accessible by the gauge fixing)
- ▶ At this point, no (full) spatial diffeomorphism constraint at quantum level

(3) Impose reduction conditions $f_i = 0$ as operator equations: $\hat{f}_i |\Psi\rangle_{sym} = 0$

- Find subspace of quantum reduced states $|\Psi\rangle_{svm}$
- Find observables \hat{O}_{sym} w.r.t. reduction constraints: $[\hat{O}_{sym}, \hat{f}_i] = 0$

§ Relate observables $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{sym}$ to mini- / midisuperspace parameters

- Map observables
- Study dynamics

QGiC⁴

Outline

Approaches to symmetry reductions

- 2 General strategy
- 3 Bianchi I: Details on classical derivation
- 4 Bianchi I: Details on quantum theory
- 5 Spherical symmetry (sketch)



Gauge fixing to obtain suitable coordinates

() Start with ADM phase space $\{q_{ab}(\sigma), P^{cd}(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta^c_{(a}\delta^d_{b)}$

2 Impose diagonal metric gauge $q_{a\neq b} = 0 \iff q = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

Gauge fixing to obtain suitable coordinates

- **3** Start with ADM phase space $\{q_{ab}(\sigma), P^{cd}(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta^c_{(a}\delta^d_{b)}$
- 2 Impose diagonal metric gauge $q_{a\neq b} = 0 \iff q = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$
- 3 Gauge fixes the spatial diffeomorphism constraint $N^a C_a = -2N^a \nabla_b P^b{}_a = 0$ (up to reduced spatial diffeomorphisms with shift vector $\vec{N} = (N^x(x), N^y(y), N^z(z))$)

Gauge fixing to obtain suitable coordinates

- **3** Start with ADM phase space $\{q_{ab}(\sigma), P^{cd}(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta^c_{(a}\delta^d_{b)}$
 - 2 Impose diagonal metric gauge $q_{a \neq b} = 0 \iff q = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$
- 3 Gauge fixes the spatial diffeomorphism constraint $N^a C_a = -2N^a \nabla_b P^b{}_a = 0$ (up to reduced spatial diffeomorphisms with shift vector $\vec{N} = (N^x(x), N^y(y), N^z(z)))$
- **(3)** Coordinatise the reduced phase space via $q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz}, P^{xx}, P^{yy}, P^{zz}$
- $\textbf{Solve } C_a = 0 \text{ for } P^{a \neq b} \quad \Rightarrow \quad P^{a \neq b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{insert in Hamiltonian}$

Gauge fixing to obtain suitable coordinates

- **3** Start with ADM phase space $\{q_{ab}(\sigma), P^{cd}(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta^c_{(a}\delta^d_{b)}$
- 2 Impose diagonal metric gauge $q_{a\neq b} = 0 \iff q = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

3 Gauge fixes the spatial diffeomorphism constraint $N^a C_a = -2N^a \nabla_b P^b{}_a = 0$ (up to reduced spatial diffeomorphisms with shift vector $\vec{N} = (N^x(x), N^y(y), N^z(z)))$

- **(4)** Coordinatise the reduced phase space via $q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz}, P^{xx}, P^{yy}, P^{zz}$
- $\textbf{Solve } C_a = 0 \text{ for } P^{a \neq b} \quad \Rightarrow \quad P^{a \neq b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{insert in Hamiltonian}$

Choose connection type variables

- 1 Define $e_a e_a = q_{aa}$, $e_a e^a = 1$, without summation, and $E^a = \sqrt{\det q} e^a$
- 2 Define $K_a = K_{ab}e^b$ with K_{ab} being the extrinsic curvature constructed form P^{ab}
- 3 Compute new Poisson brackets: $\{K_a(\sigma), E^b(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta_a^b$

Gauge fixing to obtain suitable coordinates

- **3** Start with ADM phase space $\{q_{ab}(\sigma), P^{cd}(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta^c_{(a}\delta^d_{b)}$
- 2 Impose diagonal metric gauge $q_{a\neq b} = 0 \iff q = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

3 Gauge fixes the spatial diffeomorphism constraint $N^a C_a = -2N^a \nabla_b P^b{}_a = 0$ (up to reduced spatial diffeomorphisms with shift vector $\vec{N} = (N^x(x), N^y(y), N^z(z)))$

- **(4)** Coordinatise the reduced phase space via $q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz}, P^{xx}, P^{yy}, P^{zz}$
- $\textbf{Solve } C_a = 0 \text{ for } P^{a \neq b} \quad \Rightarrow \quad P^{a \neq b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{insert in Hamiltonian}$

Choose connection type variables

- **(**) Define $e_a e_a = q_{aa}$, $e_a e^a = 1$, without summation, and $E^a = \sqrt{\det q} e^a$
- 2 Define $K_a = K_{ab}e^b$ with K_{ab} being the extrinsic curvature constructed form P^{ab}
- **3** Compute new Poisson brackets: $\{K_a(\sigma), E^b(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta^b_a$

Gauge fixing to obtain suitable coordinates

- **3** Start with ADM phase space $\{q_{ab}(\sigma), P^{cd}(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta^c_{(a}\delta^d_{b)}$
- 2 Impose diagonal metric gauge $q_{a\neq b} = 0 \iff q = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

3 Gauge fixes the spatial diffeomorphism constraint $N^a C_a = -2N^a \nabla_b P^b_{\ a} = 0$ (up to reduced spatial diffeomorphisms with shift vector $\vec{N} = (N^x(x), N^y(y), N^z(z)))$

- **(**) Coordinatise the reduced phase space via $q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz}, P^{xx}, P^{yy}, P^{zz}$
- $\textbf{Solve } C_a = 0 \text{ for } P^{a \neq b} \quad \Rightarrow \quad P^{a \neq b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{insert in Hamiltonian}$

Choose connection type variables

- 1 Define $e_a e_a = q_{aa}$, $e_a e^a = 1$, without summation, and $E^a = \sqrt{\det q} e^a$
- 2 Define $K_a = K_{ab}e^b$ with K_{ab} being the extrinsic curvature constructed form P^{ab}
- **③** Compute new Poisson brackets: $\{K_a(\sigma), E^b(\sigma')\} = \delta^{(3)}(\sigma, \sigma')\delta^b_a$
- *K_a*, *E^b* are like Ashtekar-Barbero variables without internal indices ⇒ Abelian gauge theory (Poisson bracket of Maxwell theory)

At this stage, only Hamiltonian constraint and reduced spatial diffeomorphisms left.

 \mathbb{T}^3 Bianchi I universe : 3 scale factors & 3 momenta: $q_{ab}(\sigma) = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

 \mathbb{T}^3 Bianchi I universe : 3 scale factors & 3 momenta: $q_{ab}(\sigma) = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

Constraints compatible with a Bianchi I universe

q_{ab} and *P^{ab}* are diagonal in suitable coordinates
 ⇒ impose *P^{a≠b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) = P^{a≠b}(K_a, E^b) = 0*

 \mathbb{T}^3 Bianchi I universe : 3 scale factors & 3 momenta: $q_{ab}(\sigma) = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

Constraints compatible with a Bianchi I universe

q_{ab} and *P^{ab}* are diagonal in suitable coordinates
 ⇒ impose *P^{a≠b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) = P^{a≠b}(K_a, E^b) = 0*

E^a and *K_a* are independent of the spatial coordinate in suitable coordinates ⇒ impose ∂_aK_b = 0 = ∂_ae_b

 \mathbb{T}^3 Bianchi I universe : 3 scale factors & 3 momenta: $q_{ab}(\sigma) = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

Constraints compatible with a Bianchi I universe

• q_{ab} and P^{ab} are diagonal in suitable coordinates \Rightarrow impose $P^{a\neq b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) = P^{a\neq b}(K_a, E^b) = 0$

E^a and *K_a* are independent of the spatial coordinate in suitable coordinates ⇒ impose ∂_aK_b = 0 = ∂_ae_b

Choose first class subset to impose as strong operator equations

 \mathbb{T}^3 Bianchi I universe : 3 scale factors & 3 momenta: $q_{ab}(\sigma) = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

Constraints compatible with a Bianchi I universe

• q_{ab} and P^{ab} are diagonal in suitable coordinates \Rightarrow impose $P^{a\neq b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) = P^{a\neq b}(K_a, E^b) = 0$

E^a and *K_a* are independent of the spatial coordinate in suitable coordinates
 ⇒ impose ∂_aK_b = 0 = ∂_ae_b

Choose first class subset to impose as strong operator equations

Without proof here, see paper for details:

 \mathbb{T}^3 Bianchi I universe : 3 scale factors & 3 momenta: $q_{ab}(\sigma) = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

Constraints compatible with a Bianchi I universe

q_{ab} and *P^{ab}* are diagonal in suitable coordinates
 ⇒ impose *P^{a≠b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) = P^{a≠b}(K_a, E^b) = 0*

E^a and *K_a* are independent of the spatial coordinate in suitable coordinates ⇒ impose ∂_aK_b = 0 = ∂_ae_b

Choose first class subset to impose as strong operator equations

Without proof here, see paper for details: A maximal first class subset is

- **(**) All spatial diffeomorphisms: $\tilde{C}_a[N^a] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma E^a \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} K_a = 0$ (incorporates also reduced ones)
- 2 Abelian Gauß law: $G[\omega] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma \, \omega \, \partial_a E^a = 0$

 \mathbb{T}^3 Bianchi I universe : 3 scale factors & 3 momenta: $q_{ab}(\sigma) = \text{diag}(q_{xx}, q_{yy}, q_{zz})$

Constraints compatible with a Bianchi I universe

q_{ab} and *P^{ab}* are diagonal in suitable coordinates
 ⇒ impose *P^{a≠b}(q_{aa}, P^{bb}) = P^{a≠b}(K_a, E^b) = 0*

E^a and *K_a* are independent of the spatial coordinate in suitable coordinates ⇒ impose ∂_aK_b = 0 = ∂_ae_b

Choose first class subset to impose as strong operator equations

Without proof here, see paper for details: A maximal first class subset is

- **(**) All spatial diffeomorphisms: $\tilde{C}_a[N^a] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma \, E^a \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} K_a = 0$ (incorporates also reduced ones)
- 2 Abelian Gauß law: $G[\omega] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma \, \omega \, \partial_a E^a = 0$

Result:

Direct consequences of a Bianchi I reduction can be imposed as spatial diffeomorphisms and a Gauß law on the (quantised) reduced phase space (as operator equations).

Norbert Bodendorfer (Univ. of Warsaw)

Symmetry reductions in LQG

QGiC⁴ 9 / 20

Classical preparations III: Summary

Phase space: (full GR admitting diagonal metric gauge)

1
$$K_a(\sigma)$$
, $E^b(\sigma)$ are 3 + 3 canonical variables per spatial point σ

- 2 Remaining constraints are
 - reduced spatial diffeomorphisms (preserving the diagonal gauge)
 - 2 Hamiltonian constraint

Direct consequences of a reduction to Bianchi I are

1 All spatial diffeomorphisms:
$$\tilde{C}_a[N^a] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma E^a \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} K_a = 0$$

2 Abelian Gauß law:
$$G[\omega] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma \, \omega \, \partial_a E^a = 0$$

Strategy:

Quantise full phase space via LQG techniques

2 Impose symmetry reduction by imposing $\tilde{C}_a = 0 = G$ at the quantum level

Outline

Approaches to symmetry reductions

- 2 General strategy
- 3 Bianchi I: Details on classical derivation
- 4 Bianchi I: Details on quantum theory
 - 5 Spherical symmetry (sketch)

6 Conclusion

Standard LQG type quantisation

• Compute holonomies $h_{\gamma}^{\lambda}(K) := \exp\left(i\lambda \int_{\gamma} K_{a} ds^{a}\right)$ and fluxes $E(S) = \int_{S} E^{a} d^{2}s_{a}$ γ path, S surface, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ for U(1), or $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for \mathbb{R}_{Bohr} see e.g. [Corichi, Krasnov '97] for U(1)

Standard LQG type quantisation

- Compute holonomies $h_{\gamma}^{\lambda}(K) := \exp\left(i\lambda \int_{\gamma} K_{a} ds^{a}\right)$ and fluxes $E(S) = \int_{S} E^{a} d^{2}s_{a}$ γ path, S surface, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ for U(1), or $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for \mathbb{R}_{Bohr} see e.g. [Corichi, Krasnov '97] for U(1)
- ② Define positive linear Ashtekar-Lewandowski functional on holonomy-flux algebra
 ③ Representation follows from the GNS construction: Hilbertspace = L²(Ā, dµ_{AL}) Ā = generalised U(1) or ℝ_{Bohr} connections

Standard LQG type quantisation

Compute holonomies $h_{\gamma}^{\lambda}(K) := \exp\left(i\lambda \int_{\gamma} K_{a} ds^{a}\right)$ and fluxes $E(S) = \int_{S} E^{a} d^{2}s_{a}$ γ path, S surface, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ for U(1), or $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for \mathbb{R}_{Bohr} see e.g. [Corichi, Krasnov '97] for U(1)

 2 Define positive linear Ashtekar-Lewandowski functional on holonomy-flux algebra
 3 Representation follows from the GNS construction: Hilbertspace = L²(Ā, dμ_{AL}) Ā = generalised U(1) or R_{Bohr} connections

Remarks

• For \mathbb{R}_{Bohr} : $\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^{R} dx f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{Bohr}} d\mu_{H} f(x)$ provides **normalised** and translation invariant Haar measure \Rightarrow per edge: $\mathcal{H} = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{Bohr}, d\mu_{H})$

Standard LQG type quantisation

Compute holonomies $h_{\gamma}^{\lambda}(K) := \exp\left(i\lambda \int_{\gamma} K_a \, ds^a\right)$ and fluxes $E(S) = \int_{S} E^a \, d^2s_a$ $\gamma \text{ path,} \quad S \text{ surface,} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for U(1), or } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } \mathbb{R}_{\text{Bohr}} \quad \text{see e.g. [Corichi, Krasnov '97] for U(1)}$

 ② Define positive linear Ashtekar-Lewandowski functional on holonomy-flux algebra
 ③ Representation follows from the GNS construction: Hilbertspace = L²(Ā, dµ_{AL}) Ā = generalised U(1) or ℝ_{Bohr} connections

Remarks

• For \mathbb{R}_{Bohr} : $\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{2R} \int_{-R}^{R} dx f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{Bohr}} d\mu_{H} f(x)$ provides **normalised** and translation invariant Haar measure \Rightarrow per edge: $\mathcal{H} = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{Bohr}, d\mu_{H})$

• Choosing $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ over $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. compactifying $\int_{\gamma} K_a ds^a$) has no justification at this stage (also not later)

Quantisation II: Area operator

Area operator for Abelian theory

- $A(S) = |E(S)| = |\int_S E^a d^2 s_a|$ is analogous to (absolute value of) electric flux
- Important difference to non-Abelian, e.g. SU(2), area op. $\int_{S} \sqrt{|E^{i}E_{i}|}$:
 - Absolute value is outside of the integral
 - E(S) does not detect closed contractible loops for closed S

While one can also define "non-Abelian like" area operator here, the Abelian one will turn out to be most useful.



Quantisation II: Area operator

Area operator for Abelian theory

- $A(S) = |E(S)| = |\int_S E^a d^2 s_a|$ is analogous to (absolute value of) electric flux
- Important difference to non-Abelian, e.g. SU(2), area op. $\int_{S} \sqrt{|E^{i}E_{i}|}$:
 - Absolute value is **outside** of the integral
 - E(S) does not detect closed contractible loops for closed S

While one can also define "non-Abelian like" area operator here, the Abelian one will turn out to be most useful.

- Non-trivial topology: A(S) can detect Wilson loops even for closed S



 $\hat{A}(S)$ measures intersection number $\mathit{N}_{ ext{int}}$ imes rep. label: $\hat{A}(S) \left| h_{\gamma}^{\lambda} \right\rangle = \left| \mathit{N}_{ ext{int}} \lambda \right| \left| h_{\gamma}^{\lambda}
ight
angle$

Quantisation III: Imposing the symmetry reduction

Reduction constraints are very familiar from full theory

- **(**) All spatial diffeomorphisms: $\tilde{C}_a[N^a] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma E^a \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} K_a = 0$
- (a) Abelian Gauß law: $G[\omega] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma \, \omega \, \partial_a E^a = 0$

⇒ spatially diffeomorphism invariant and gauge invariant charge (spin) networks!

Quantisation III: Imposing the symmetry reduction

Reduction constraints are very familiar from full theory

- **(**) All spatial diffeomorphisms: $\tilde{C}_a[N^a] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma \, E^a \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} K_a = 0$
- 2 Abelian Gauß law: $G[\omega] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma \, \omega \, \partial_a E^a = 0$

⇒ spatially diffeomorphism invariant and gauge invariant charge (spin) networks!

Simplest choice of quantum state Consider spin network made from 3 Wilson loops wrapping around $\mathbb{T}^1_x, \mathbb{T}^1_y, \mathbb{T}^1_z$, meeting in a single vertex v. [c.f. Husain '91, '05] Mapping to Bianchi I LQC states of [Ashtekar, Wilson-Ewing '09] $|\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z\rangle \mapsto |p_1, p_2, p_3\rangle$



Quantisation III: Imposing the symmetry reduction

Reduction constraints are very familiar from full theory

- **1** All spatial diffeomorphisms: $\tilde{C}_a[N^a] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3\sigma E^a \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} K_a = 0$
- 2 Abelian Gauß law: $G[\omega] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3 \sigma \, \omega \, \partial_a E^a = 0$

 \Rightarrow spatially diffeomorphism invariant and gauge invariant charge (spin) networks!

Simplest choice of quantum state Consider spin network made from 3 Wilson loops wrapping around $\mathbb{T}^1_x, \mathbb{T}^1_y, \mathbb{T}^1_z$, meeting in a single vertex v. [c.f. Husain '91, '05] Mapping to Bianchi I LQC states of [Ashtekar, Wilson-Ewing '09] $|\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z\rangle \mapsto |p_1, p_2, p_3\rangle$



Observables w.r.t. the reduction constraints

Area of closed surfaces → 3 non-trivial areas A(T²_x), A(T²_y), A(T²_z)
 Diff-equiv. classes of Wilson loops → 3 non-trivial closed loops along T¹_x, T¹_y, T¹_z

Hamiltonian constraint / true Hamiltonian (via deparametrisation)

Take original Hamiltonian:

- Evaluate at $q_{a\neq b} = 0$ because of gauge fixing
- Discard $P^{a\neq b}$, $\partial_a e_b$, and $\partial_a K_b$ terms because of reduction constraints

Hamiltonian constraint / true Hamiltonian (via deparametrisation)

Take original Hamiltonian:

- Evaluate at $q_{a\neq b} = 0$ because of gauge fixing
- Discard $P^{a\neq b}$, $\partial_a e_b$, and $\partial_a K_b$ terms because of reduction constraints
- $\Rightarrow H[N] = \int d^3\sigma N \left(e_x K_y K_z + e_y K_z K_x + e_z K_x K_y \right) \text{ looks like in Bianchi I cosmology}$

Hamiltonian constraint / true Hamiltonian (via deparametrisation)

Take original Hamiltonian:

- Evaluate at $q_{a\neq b} = 0$ because of gauge fixing
- Discard $P^{a\neq b}$, $\partial_a e_b$, and $\partial_a K_b$ terms because of reduction constraints
- $\Rightarrow H[N] = \int d^3 \sigma N \left(e_x K_y K_z + e_y K_z K_x + e_z K_x K_y \right) \text{ looks like in Bianchi I cosmology}$

Regularise constraint operator (graph preserving)

- Substitute e_a either by fluxes or Thiemann's trick $e_a = 2\{K_a, V\}$
- Approximate K_a via holonomies: $\int K_a ds^a \approx \sin(\lambda \int K_a ds^a)/\lambda$

Hamiltonian constraint / true Hamiltonian (via deparametrisation)

Take original Hamiltonian:

- Evaluate at $q_{a\neq b} = 0$ because of gauge fixing
- Discard $P^{a\neq b}$, $\partial_a e_b$, and $\partial_a K_b$ terms because of reduction constraints
- $\Rightarrow H[N] = \int d^3 \sigma N \left(e_x K_y K_z + e_y K_z K_x + e_z K_x K_y \right) \text{ looks like in Bianchi I cosmology}$

Regularise constraint operator (graph preserving)

- Substitute e_a either by fluxes or Thiemann's trick $e_a = 2\{K_a, V\}$
- Approximate K_a via holonomies: $\int K_a ds^a \approx \sin(\lambda \int K_a ds^a)/\lambda$

Choice of λ is crucial! U(1) vs. \mathbb{R}_{Bohr}

• U(1) choice: $\lambda = 1$ gives best approximation \Rightarrow "old" LQC dynamics [Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski '03]

Hamiltonian constraint / true Hamiltonian (via deparametrisation)

Take original Hamiltonian:

- Evaluate at $q_{a\neq b} = 0$ because of gauge fixing
- Discard $P^{a\neq b}$, $\partial_a e_b$, and $\partial_a K_b$ terms because of reduction constraints
- $\Rightarrow H[N] = \int d^3 \sigma N \left(e_x K_y K_z + e_y K_z K_x + e_z K_x K_y \right) \text{ looks like in Bianchi I cosmology}$

Regularise constraint operator (graph preserving)

- Substitute e_a either by fluxes or Thiemann's trick $e_a = 2\{K_a, V\}$
- Approximate K_a via holonomies: $\int K_a ds^a \approx \sin(\lambda \int K_a ds^a)/\lambda$

Choice of λ is crucial! U(1) vs. \mathbb{R}_{Bohr}

• U(1) choice: $\lambda = 1$ gives best approximation \Rightarrow "old" LQC dynamics [Ashtekar, Bojowald, Lewandowski '03]

• \mathbb{R}_{Bohr} allows arbitrarily small $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for better approximation. "improved" LQC choice: $1/\lambda_x = \sqrt{|E^y E^z/E^x|} = \text{size of universe in } x\text{-direction}$ \Rightarrow "new" LQC dynamics [Ashtekar, Pawlowski, Singh '06; Ashtekar, Wilson-Ewing '09]

Outline

Approaches to symmetry reductions

- 2 General strategy
- 3 Bianchi I: Details on classical derivation
- 4 Bianchi I: Details on quantum theory
- 5 Spherical symmetry (sketch)

Conclusion

ADM phase space in radial gauge (without details here)

Start with ADM phase space

ADM phase space in radial gauge (without details here)

- Start with ADM phase space
- 2 Define adapted coordinate system

[Duch, Kamiński, Lewandowski, Świeżewski '14]



ADM phase space in radial gauge (without details here)

- Start with ADM phase space
- 2 Define adapted coordinate system

[Duch, Kamiński, Lewandowski, Świeżewski '14]

3 Impose radial gauge $q_{ra} = \delta_{ra}$



QGiC⁴

ADM phase space in radial gauge (without details here)



 $\begin{array}{l} A,B=\theta,\phi, \quad \{A_{A}^{i}(\sigma),E_{j}^{B}(\sigma')\}=\delta^{(3)}(\sigma,\sigma')\delta_{A}^{B}\delta_{j}^{i}\\ A_{A}^{i},E_{i}^{B}=\text{variables of 3d gravity, with spatial slice }S_{r}^{2} \end{array}$



ADM phase space in radial gauge (without details here)



ADM phase space in radial gauge (without details here)



Find conditions compatible with spherical symmetry

P^{rA} = 0 ⇔ generator of all spatial diffeomorphisms preserving all S²_r (Follows form non-existence of non-zero spherically symmetric vector field on S²)

Impose spherical symmetry as invariance under S_r^2 -preserving diffeomorphisms.

(These are active diffeomorphisms with respect to the (r, θ, ϕ) coordinate system)

Symmetry reductions in LQG

Perform standard LQG-type quantisation (roughly similar to lattice field theory)

() SU(2) gauge theory with holonomies restricted to lie in an S_r^2

 \Rightarrow Quantum states (generalised Wilson loops) have support on different S_r^2

Perform standard LQG-type quantisation (roughly similar to lattice field theory)

- **(**) SU(2) gauge theory with holonomies restricted to lie in an S_r^2
 - \Rightarrow Quantum states (generalised Wilson loops) have support on different S_r^2
- Reduction constraints lead to spatially diffeomorphism invariance on each S²_r (Quantum states "diffeomorphism averaged" over the S²_r)

Perform standard LQG-type quantisation (roughly similar to lattice field theory)

- **(**) SU(2) gauge theory with holonomies restricted to lie in an S_r^2
 - \Rightarrow Quantum states (generalised Wilson loops) have support on different S_r^2
- 2 Reduction constraints lead to spatially diffeomorphism invariance on each S_r^2 (Quantum states "diffeomorphism averaged" over the S_r^2)

Observables w.r.t. the reduction constraints

Areas of the S²_r → 4πR(r)² := ∫_{S²_r} d²x √det q_{AB}
 Averaged trace of momenta → P_R(r) := ²/_{R(r)} ∫_{S²_r} d²x P^{AB} q_{AB}

(+ all other S_r^2 -preserving diffeomorphism invariant observables. \Rightarrow more than in classically reduced theory!)

Perform standard LQG-type quantisation (roughly similar to lattice field theory)

- **(**) SU(2) gauge theory with holonomies restricted to lie in an S_r^2
 - \Rightarrow Quantum states (generalised Wilson loops) have support on different S_r^2
- 2 Reduction constraints lead to spatially diffeomorphism invariance on each S_r^2 (Quantum states "diffeomorphism averaged" over the S_r^2)

Observables w.r.t. the reduction constraints

- 3 Averaged trace of momenta $\rightarrow P_R(r) := \frac{2}{R(r)} \int_{S_r^2} d^2 x P^{AB} q_{AB}$

(+ all other S_r^2 -preserving diffeomorphism invariant observables. \Rightarrow more than in classically reduced theory!)

What about dynamics?

More challenging than for Bianchi I, ongoing work with A. Zipfel. First steps

- $\bullet~$ Map states in classically reduced \rightarrow quantum reduced theory
- Compute quantum algebra $[\hat{R}(r), \hat{P}_{R}(r')]$ from full theory

Outline

Approaches to symmetry reductions

- 2 General strategy
- 3 Bianchi I: Details on classical derivation
- 4 Bianchi I: Details on quantum theory
- 5 Spherical symmetry (sketch)



Conclusion: Proposed reduction programme successful

Suitable classical starting point

- Bianchi I: ADM in diagonal metric gauge
- Sph. sym.: ADM in radial gauge
- Identification of constraints imposed by symmetry reduction
 - Bianchi I: all spatial diffeomorphisms and Abelian Gauß law
 - ► Sph. sym.: S²_r-preserving diffeomorphisms (Gauß law from variable choice)
- Quantise the reduced phase space via LQG techniques
 - Bianchi I: Abelian gauge theory
 - ▶ Sph. sym.: SU(2) gauge theory with Wilson loops (graphs) in S_r^2
- Impose reduction conditions as operator equations
 - Bianchi I: spatial diffeomorphism invariance and gauge invariance
 - ► Sph. sym.: S²_r-preserving spatial diffeomorphism invariance (and gauge invariance)
- **§ Relate observables** w.r.t. reduction constraints to mini- / midisuperspace
 - Bianchi I: three areas and conjugate momenta
 - Sph. sym.: R(r) and $P_R(r)$
- 6 Future work: perturbations to Bianchi I, coarse graining, spherical collapse...

Conclusion: Proposed reduction programme successful

Suitable classical starting point

- Bianchi I: ADM in diagonal metric gauge
- Sph. sym.: ADM in radial gauge

2 Identification of constraints imposed by symmetry reduction

- Bianchi I: all spatial diffeomorphisms and Abelian Gauß law
- ► Sph. sym.: S²_r-preserving diffeomorphisms (Gauß law from variable choice)
- Quantise the reduced phase space via LQG techniques
 - Bianchi I: Abelian gauge theory
 - ▶ Sph. sym.: SU(2) gauge theory with Wilson loops (graphs) in S_r^2
- Impose reduction conditions as operator equations
 - Bianchi I: spatial diffeomorphism invariance and gauge invariance
 - ► Sph. sym.: S²_r-preserving spatial diffeomorphism invariance (and gauge invariance)
- **§ Relate observables** w.r.t. reduction constraints to mini- / midisuperspace
 - Bianchi I: three areas and conjugate momenta
 - Sph. sym.: R(r) and $P_R(r)$

6 Future work: perturbations to Bianchi I, coarse graining, spherical collapse...

Thank you for your attention!

Norbert Bodendorfer (Univ. of Warsaw)

Symmetry reductions in LQG